MZ

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/057,198	10/26/2001	Peter M. Lloyd	509032001600	1707
	7590 01/26/2007 BRATSCHUN, L.L.C	EXAMINER		
1745 SHEA CENTER DRIVE, SUITE 330			MENDOZA, MICHAEL G	
HIGHLANDS F	RANCH, CO 80129	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		3734	- <del> </del>
SHORTENED STATUTORY	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MON	NTHS	01/26/2007	PAF	PER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/057,198	LLOYD ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Michael G. Mendoza	3734				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence add	dress			
Period fo	or Reply						
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staturely received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN  1.136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO ute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. I reply be timely filed INTHS from the mailing date of this contable (ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07</u>	November 2006.		•			
·							
3)							
-,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims		. •				
-	Claim(s) <u>1,4-8,10,13,19,29-34,45 and 84</u> is/a		on.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
·	Claim(s) <u>1,4-8,10 and 13</u> is/are allowed.		•				
	Claim(s) <u>19,29-34,45 and 84</u> is/are rejected.			4			
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers	• •					
	The specification is objected to by the Examir						
•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		by the Evaminer				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the f	•	* · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
,—							
-	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig  ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the International Bure	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
			•				
Attachmen	ut(s)	•		•			
_	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) \(\sum_{\text{Interview}}\)	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notic	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	o(s)/Mail Date	•			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/20/03 11/3/06 11/22/06.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 10/057,198 Page 2

Art Unit: 3734

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 19, 32-34, 45, and 84 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Counts et al. 5060671.
- 3. Counts teach a method for delivering a physiologically active compound to a patient comprising the steps of: depositing a physiologically active compound onto a substrate defining a compound deposition area; moving a heating zone with respect to the compound deposition area to progressively vaporize compound exposed to the heating zone (col. 6, lines 10-18); allowing the vapor to condense to form an aerosol (it would be inherent the vapor produced by Counts et al. condenses if the end product is an aerosol); administering the resulting aerosol to a patient; wherein the heating of the compound to form a vapor occurs over a period of 2 seconds or less (col. 5, lines 30-41); wherein the vapor is free of excipients (Counts et al. states that glycerine or water can be added, if not added the compound would be excipient free)
- 4. As to claim 34, Counts et al. teach the use of the same or similar compounds (nicotine). Those compounds would inherently have the same physical and chemical properties. Therefore the compounds as taught by Counts et al. would inherently vaporized with less than 2%.

Application/Control Number: 10/057,198 Page 3

Art Unit: 3734

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 6. Claim 29 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Counts et al.
- 7. Counts et al. fails to specifically teach wherein the coating thickness is less than 10 µm. Counts et al. does however teach coating a substrate with a compound. The Applicant has not disclosed that claimed thickness of the coating provides an advantage, is used for a particular purpose, or solves a stated problem. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the claimed thickness, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routing skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233.
- 8. Claims 30 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Counts et al. as applied to claims above, and further in view of Rabinowitz et al. 6783753.
- 9. Counts et al. teaches the method of claim 19. It should be noted that Counts et al. fails to teach where the aerosol has a mass median aerodynamic diameter of between 1-3  $\mu$ m or 10-100 nm.

Application/Control Number: 10/057,198 Page 4

Art Unit: 3734

10. Rabinowitz teaches an aerosol that has a mass median aerodynamic diameter of between 1-3  $\mu$ m or 10-100 nm (col. 3, lines 30-39). Furthermore, it is well known to use the claimed range for aerosols to insure deep inhalation of treatment into the lungs and to prevent sticking of aerosol to delivery devices. Therefore, it would have been obvious for one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the claimed range to delivering treatment to the lungs of a patient.

## Allowable Subject Matter

11. Claims 1, 4-8, 10, and 13 are allowable over the prior art of record.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael G. Mendoza whose telephone number is (571) 272-4698. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 9:00 a.m. - 5:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Hayes can be reached on (571) 272-4959. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/057,198

Art Unit: 3734

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

yvvvv

MM

MICHAEL J. HAYES
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 5